

Cabinet Member for City Services

13 September 2023

Name of Cabinet Member:

Cabinet Member for City Services – Councillor P Hetherton

Director Approving Submission of the report:

Director of Transportation, Highways and Sustainability

Ward(s) affected:

Earlsdon, Foleshill, Henley, Upper Stoke, Wainbody, Westwood

Title:

Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations

Is this a key decision?

No. This report is for monitoring purposes only.

Executive Summary:

In accordance with the City Council's procedure for dealing with petitions, those relating to traffic management, road safety and highway maintenance issues are considered by the Cabinet Member for City Services.

In June 2015, amendments to the Petitions Scheme, which forms part of the Constitution, were approved in order to provide flexibility and streamline current practice. This change has reduced costs and bureaucracy and improved the service to the public.

These amendments allow for a petition to be dealt with or responded to by letter without being formally presented in a report to a Cabinet Member meeting.

In light of this, at the meeting of the Cabinet Member for Public Services on 15 March 2016, it was approved that a summary of those petitions received which were determined by letter, or where decisions are deferred pending further investigations, be reported to subsequent meetings of the Cabinet Member for Public Services (now amended to Cabinet Member for City Services), where appropriate, for monitoring and transparency purposes.

Appendix A sets out petitions received relating to the portfolio of the Cabinet Member for City Services and how officers propose to respond to them.

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

1. Endorse the actions being taken by officers as set out in Section 2 and Appendix A of the report in response to the petitions received.

List of Appendices included:

Appendix A – Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations

Background Papers

None.

Other useful documents:

Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities Meeting 18 June 2015 report: Amendments to the Constitution – Proposed Amendments to the Petitions Scheme

A copy of the report is available at: modern.gov.coventry.gov.uk.

Has it been or will it be considered by Scrutiny?

No.

Has it been or will it be considered by any other Council Committee, Advisory Panel or other body?

No.

Will this report go to Council?

No.

Report title: Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations

1. Context (or background)

- 1.1 In accordance with the City Council's procedure for dealing with petitions, those relating to traffic management, road safety and highway maintenance issues are considered by the Cabinet Member for City Services.
- 1.2 Amendments to the Petitions Scheme, which forms part of the Constitution, were approved by the Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities on 18 June 2015 and Council on 23 June 2015 in order to provide flexibility and streamline current practice.
- 1.3 These amendments allow a petition to be dealt with or responded to by letter without being formally presented in a report to a Cabinet Member meeting. The advantages of this change are two-fold; firstly, it saves taxpayers money by streamlining the process and reducing bureaucracy. Secondly it means that petitions can be dealt with and responded to quicker, improving the responsiveness of the service given to the public.
- 1.4 Each petition is still dealt with on an individual basis. The Cabinet Member considers advice from officers on appropriate action to respond to the petitioners' request, which in some circumstances, may be for the petition to be dealt with or responded to without the need for formal consideration at a Cabinet Member meeting. In such circumstances and with the approval of the Cabinet Member, written agreement is then sought from the relevant Councillor/Petition Organiser to proceed in this manner.

2. Options considered and recommended proposal

- 2.1 Officers will respond to the petitions received by determination letter or holding letter as set out in Appendix A of this report.
- 2.2 Where a holding letter is to be sent, this is because further investigation work is required of the matters raised. Details of the actions agreed are also included in Appendix A of the report.
- 2.3 Once the matters have been investigated, a determination letter will be sent to the petition organiser or, if appropriate, a report will be submitted to a future Cabinet Member meeting, detailing the results of the investigations and subsequent recommended action.

3. Results of consultation undertaken

- 3.1 In the case of a petition being determined by letter, written agreement is sought from the relevant Petition Organiser and Councillor Sponsor to proceed in this manner. If they do not agree, a report responding to the petition will be prepared for consideration at a future Cabinet Member meeting. The Petition Organiser and Councillor Sponsor will be invited to attend this meeting where they will have the opportunity to speak on behalf of the petitioners.

4. Timetable for implementing this decision

- 4.1 Letters referred to in Appendix A of the report will be sent out by October 2023.

5. Comments from the Interim Chief Executive (Section 151 Officer) and the Chief Legal Officer

5.1 Financial implications

There are no specific financial implications arising from the recommendations within this report.

5.2 Legal implications

There are no specific legal implications arising from this report.

6. Other implications

6.1 How will this contribute to the One Coventry Plan?

Not applicable.

6.2 How is risk being managed?

Not applicable.

6.3 What is the impact on the organisation?

Determining petitions by letter enables petitioners' requests to be responded to more quickly and efficiently.

6.4 Equalities / EIA

There are no public sector equality duties which are of relevance.

6.5 Implications for (or impact on) climate change and the environment

None.

6.6 Implications for partner organisations?

None.

Report author

Name and job title:

Martin Wilkinson
Senior Officer - Traffic Management

Service:

Transportation, Highways and Sustainability

Tel and email contact:

Tel: 024 7697 7139

Email: martin.wilkinson@coventry.gov.uk

Enquiries should be directed to the above person.

Contributor/approver name	Title	Service	Date doc sent out	Date response received or approved
Contributors:				
Caron Archer	Principal Officer – Traffic Management	Transportation, Highways and Sustainability	05/09/2023	05/09/2023
Caroline Taylor	Governance Services Officer	Law and Governance	05/09/2023	05.09.2023

This report is published on the council's website: www.coventry.gov.uk/councilmeetings

Appendix A – Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations

Petition No.	Petition Title	No. of signatures	Councillor Sponsor	Type of letter to be sent to petition organiser(s) and sponsor	Actions agreed
5/23	St Paul's Road - residents parking scheme	63	Councillor T Khan	Holding	Parking surveys to be undertaken
8/23	Potters Green School – pedestrian crossing	594	Councillor Ruane	Holding	Crossing survey to be undertaken
E02/23	Fletchamstead Highway - Average Speed	16	N/A	Determination	Does not meet the criteria for inclusion in the average speed enforcement programme (review of recorded personal injury collisions on Fletchamstead Highway in last three years shows that the majority were classified as slight). Speed surveys to be undertaken to see if road meets Police criteria for additional mobile speed enforcement.
E08/23	Charter Avenue, Canley - Speed Monitoring Stripes	41	Councillor Masih	Determination	Analysis of the last 3 years recorded personal injury collisions shows that majority would not be addressed by average speed enforcement. Speed surveys have already been undertaken and show that the road does not meet Police criteria for mobile speed enforcement. Charter Avenue to be included in future citywide review of speed limits.
E10/23	Silverton Road - Request for Residents Parking	51	N/A	Determination	Previous petitions and residents' parking scheme consultation did not receive sufficient support (less than required 60% of households). As stated in the Residents' Parking Policy, further requests will not be considered with 24 months of a previous consultation (Nov 2022) unless there has been a significant change in circumstances within the area. There has been no such significant change; therefore, the request is declined.

Petition No.	Petition Title	No. of signatures	Councillor Sponsor	Type of letter to be sent to petition organiser(s) and sponsor	Actions agreed
45/22	Conway Avenue – residents parking	58	Councillor Lapsa	Determination	Road meets parking availability criterion and the petition has been signed by 61% of affected households. Therefore, the request meets the criteria set out in Residents' Parking Policy. Proposals for a residents' parking scheme on Conway Avenue will be advertised as part of the next waiting restriction review.
43/22	Hathaway Road - Request for a Residents Parking Scheme	69	Councillor Lapsa	Determination	Road does not meet the parking availability criterion (less than 40% of spaces available during weekday daytime) which is part of the residents parking policy criteria. Therefore, request is declined.
37/22	Torrington Avenue - Request for Residents Parking Scheme	50	Councillor Lapsa	Determination	Section of road where residents' parking is requested does not meet the parking availability criterion (less than 40% of spaces available during weekday daytime), which is part of the residents parking policy criteria. Therefore, request is declined.
E21/22	Parking in Blackwell Road to be Permit Holders only – Stop Non-Residents Parking	32	N/A	Determination	Road meets parking availability criterion. Therefore, consultation will be undertaken to see if the required 60%+ of households are in favour to proceed with a scheme. However, surveys show that there may be a high level of residents' parking; a residents' parking scheme would not address issues caused by parking by residents.
30/22	Request for Residents Parking Scheme Argyll Street	26	Councillor Caan	Determination	Road meets parking availability criterion. Therefore, consultation will be undertaken to see if the required 60%+ of households are in favour to proceed with a scheme. However, surveys show that there may be a high level of residents' parking; a residents' parking scheme would not address issues caused by parking by residents.